2017

Vol. 2 No. 1: 2

**Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery** ISSN 2573-4482

iMedPub Journals http://www.imedpub.com

DOI: 10.21767/2573-4482.100034

# and Leonardo Roever<sup>2</sup>

- 1 Department of Specialized and General Surgery, Fluminense Federal University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- 2 Department of Clinical Research, Federal University of Uberlândia, Minas Gerais,

# Advances in Vascular and Endovascular Paulo Eduardo Ocke Reis<sup>1</sup> **Surgery in 2016**

Keywords: Vascular Surgery; Endovascular Surgery; Endovascular Procedures; New advances; Vascular disease

Received: January 03, 2016; Accepted: January 05, 2017; Published: January 10,

2017

We mainly refer to advances in concept, notwithstanding the advances of technique and materials. Historically the endovascular procedures had a great advance since the 90's, mainly related to the development and improvement of techniques for treatment in all levels of the thoracoabdominal aortic aneurisms. From then on, there was a worldwide explosion in the dissemination of knowledge with a solid basis for updating the concepts, new techniques such as embolization or endovenous therapies besides new materials. We will make a brief summary of some highlights in 2016.

Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial (CREST) now report the results extended out to 10 years and concluded that stenting versus endarterectomy for carotidartery stenosis rates of ipsilateral stroke did not differ [1]. NEJM published a trial involving asymptomatic patients where stenting was non-inferior to endarterectomy also [2]. The Asymptomatic Carotid Trial and the CREST showed that after the perioperative period, there was no difference in the rate of late ipsilateral stroke after both procedures [1-3].

The proximal landing zone is a limitation to obtain an adequate seal zone in the Endovascular Aortic Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) and open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair is still necessary in an era of advanced endovascular procedures [4]. However authors suggest now Fenestrated EVAR (FEVAR) as a first line strategy to treat short necked, juxtarenal, and suprarenal aneurysms [5], despite the line curve to achieve a high technical success and a low operative mortality rate [5,6]. Expanding the landing zone for treating abdominal aortic aneurysms, the development of different type of endoprothesis and extension allowing the treatment of more complex abdominal aorta aneurysms in any segment and technology evolution from large profile endoprothesis to low profile endoprothesis implantable percutaneously is a tremendous breakthrough in the current era.

Relevant studies about endovascular repair of Thoracoabdominal Aortic Aneurysms (TAAAs) using fenestrated and branched endografts asserted that it can be performed with high technical success and low mortality and morbidity, however long-term follow -up is needed and high rate reinterventions was observed because of endoleaks [7].

#### **Corresponding author:**

Paulo Eduardo Ocke Reis

vascular@pauloocke.com.br

Department of Specialized and General Surgery, Fluminense Federal University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Tel: +55 21 2629-5000

Citation: Reis PEO, Roever L. Advances in Vascular and Endovascular Surgery in 2016. J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2017, 2:1.

Outcomes with supraceliac sealing zones to treat juxtarenal and TAAAs concluded that Fenestrated and Branched Endovascular Aortic Repair (F-BEVAR) are safe and can be performed with excellent early outcomes [8].

Another significant advance remains controversial, however studies indicate that *in situ* repair should be offered using either autologous veins or cryopreserved allografts to treat aortic endograft infection [9,10]. With increased use of EVAR the infection incidence can go up to 3% [10].

Regarding clinical practice the largest advancement of the last decade and 2016 was endovenous surgery than I will highlight two points that I consider to be the main ones in this year. First of all, venous compression syndrome studies statistically increased. Stenting for the treatment of Nutcracker Syndrome (NCS) is growing with favorable results with regard to primary stenting [11]. A novel open surgery for pelvic congestion syndrome caused by NCS was recently reported, transposing the distal left ovarian to the left external iliac vein, it is simple avoiding the use of prosthetic materials or stents and experience sustained relief of symptoms [11,12].

Early data suggest but not validate that; imaging-guided, catheterbased therapy can eliminate iliac vein obstruction and saphenous venous valvular reflux, resulting in reduced Post-Thrombotic Syndrome (PTS) severity. Vedantham et al summarizes the findings of a multidisciplinary panel to plan a multicenter randomized trial to evaluate endovascular therapy for the treatment of advanced PTS [13]. They concluded 12 recommendations, the main ones being: Endovascular therapy (Iliac vein stent placement followed by ablation of saphenous reflux) and all patients use compression therapy (mainly graduated stockings) [13]. Venous evaluation to treat patients with severe stenosis generally is done with ascending phlebography or Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS). In addition to these important articles on venous disease, Archer proposed a new venographic classification findings that can treat more than two-thirds of the patients, with a more economical and successful approach than IVUS [14].

An article published exposes a reasoning approach for patients with peripheral arterial disease that need to assess arterial perfusion; percutaneous therapies continue to evolve with longer follow-up with randomized data and larger prospective registries [15]. Data showed that novel devices such as drug-eluting stents, drug-coated balloons have improved patency, others to cross chronic total occlusions or reduce or modify the anatomy of the plaque [15,16]. Tools as intravascular ultrasound have highlighted the need for better stent selection. The angiosome

notion optimizing reperfusion and endovascular treatment of the pedal loop have been advocated for improved results, associated with technical advances such as reentry methods allowing crossing difficult lesions that were insurmountable [15]. A study catches our attention to research in vascular disease about time dependent differences of plaque characteristics towards a less lipid rich lesion or with less intraplaque hemorrhage; therefore, the benefit of revascularization may change over time [17].

About future prospects in vascular procedures, Veith concluded, in his eleventh Homans Lecture this year "Vascular surgery can then fulfill its potential for a brighter future. More importantly, patients and society will be the ultimate beneficiaries [18]".

## **Conclusion**

Marvelous advances have been made, technology has enabled us to advance every year, so it is a risk trying to anticipate how vascular surgery will be in 20 years, since the procedures we perform today are completely different from those performed twenty years ago but vascular diseases are the same. They are significant contributions that make science advances in the search for improvement in the health of the reducing complications of vascular diseases.

Vol. 2 No. 1: 2

### References

- Brott TG, Howard G, Roubin GS (2016) Long-Term Results of Stenting versus Endarterectomy for Carotid-Artery Stenosis. N Engl J Med 374: 1021-1031.
- 2 Rosenfield K, Matsumura JS, Chaturvedi S (2016) Randomized trial of stent versus surgery for asymptomatic carotid stenosis. N Engl J Med 374: 1011-1012.
- 3 Spence JD, Naylor AR (2016) Endarterectomy, Stenting, or Neither for Asymptomatic Carotid-Artery Stenosis. N Engl J Med 374: 1087-1088
- 4 Khan S, Lombardi JV, Carpenter JP (2016) Open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair is still necessary in an era of advanced endovascular repair. J Vasc Surg 64: 333-337.
- Verhoeven EL, Katsargyris A, Oikonomou K (2016) Fenestrated Endovascular Aortic Aneurysm Repair as a First Line Treatment Option to Treat Short Necked, Juxtarenal, and Suprarenal Aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 51: 775-781.
- Starnes BW, Caps MT, Arthurs ZM (2016) Evaluation of the learning curve for fenestrated endovascular aneurysm repair. J Vasc Surg 64: 1219-1227.
- 7 Oderich GS, Ribeiro M, Souza LR (2016) Endovascular repair of thoraco abdominal aortic aneurysms using fenestrated and branched endografts. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg, pp: 1-10.
- 8 Oderich SG, Ribeiro M, Hofer J (2016) Prospective, nonrandomized study to evaluate endovascular repair of pararenal and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms using fenestrated-branched endografts based on supraceliac sealing zones. J Vasc Surg, pp: 1-11.

- 9 Wilson WR, Bower TC, Creager MA (2016) Vascular Graft Infections, Mycotic Aneurysms, and Endovascular Infections: A Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 134: 412-460.
- 10 Ocke Reis PE, Oderich GS (2016) Current Status of Treatment of Aortic Endograft Infection. J Vasc Endovasc Surg 1: 3.
- 11 White JM, Comerota AJ (2016) Venous Compression Syndromes. J Vasc Endovasc Surg 1: 4.
- 12 White VJ, Ryjewski C, Messersmith RN (2016) Left ovarian to left external iliac vein transposition for the treatment of nutcracker syndrome. J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord 4: 114-118.
- 13 Vedantham S, Kahn SR, Goldhaber SZ (2016) Endovascular therapy for advanced post-thrombotic syndrome: Proceedings from a multidisciplinary consensus panel. Vasc Med 21: 400-407.
- 14 Ascher E, Eisenberg J, Bauer N (2017) The bull's eye sign and other suprainguinal venographic findings to limit the use of intravascular ultrasound in patients with severe venous stasis. J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord 5: 70-74.
- 15 Shishehbor MH, Jaff MR (2016) Percutaneous Therapies for Peripheral Artery Disease. Circulation 134: 2008-2027.
- 16 Ocke Reis PE, Amin A (2016) Should Re-entry devices be always available when treating Chronic Total Occlusion? J Vasc Endovasc Surg 1: 4.
- 17 Haitjema S, van Haelst ST, de Vries JP (2016) Time-dependent differences in femoral artery plaque characteristics of peripheral arterial disease patients. Atherosclerosis 255: 66-72.
- 18 Veith FJ (2016) A look at the future of vascular surgery. J Vasc Surg 64: 885-890.